

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/213,169 | 12/17/1998 | JOHN R. FREDLUND | 78685F-P | 7343 |
| 1333 | 7590 09/30/2003 | | | |
| PATENT LEGAL STAFF | | | EXAMINER | |
| EASTMAN R | CODAK COMPANY STREET | FRIDIE JR, WILLMON | | |
| ROCHESTE | ESTER, NY 14650-2201 | | | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3722 | • |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003 | 2.0 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| . p* | Advisory Action | 09/213,169 | FREDLUND ET AL. | | | |
| * | , avice, y , touen | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Willmon Fridie,Jr. | 3722 | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence addres | :s | | |
| Theref final re conditi | EPLY FILED 22 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*on for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appenation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this application in the same of this application in the same of the sa | cation. A proper reply ich places the applicat | to a ion in | | |
| | PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | , | | |
| | The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See | MPEP | | |
| have bee 37 CFR (b) abov | ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extending is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortenede, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in | e fee. The appropriate extens the final Office action; or (2) | sion fee under as set forth in | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>22 September 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| 2. | The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b | ecause: | | | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) | they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | terially reducing or sim | plifying the | | |
| (d) | they present additional claims without cancel | ling a corresponding number of | finally rejected claims | | | |
| | NOTE: | | | | | |
| 3. | Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ction(s): | | | | |
| 4. | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | separate, timely filed a | mendment | | |
| 5.⊠ | The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request for application in condition for allowance because: \underline{Se} | r reconsideration has been consecutive Continuation Sheet | sidered but does NOT | place the | | |
| 6. | The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were | newly | | |
| 7. | For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w | t(s) a) will not be entered or to a could be rejected is provided bel | o) will be entered an ow or appended. | d an | | |
| | The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| 8. | The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a) approved or b) disap | proved by the Examin | er. | | |
| 9. | Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | · | | | |
| | Other: | | | | | |
| | | | Witimon Fridie, Jr. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3722 | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) *J*'

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: THE COMBINATION OF MANICO IN VIEW OF SHIOTA CLEARLY DISCLOSES THE CLAIMED APPARATUS.